arXiv:funct-an/9707010v1 28 Jul 1997

The analytic structure of an algebraic quantum group

J. Kustermans [1]
Institut for Matematik og Datalogi
Odense Universitet
Campusvej 55
5230 Odense M
Denmark

July 1997

Abstract

In [14], Van Daele introduced the notion of an algebraic quantum group. We proved in [5] and [9] that such algebraic quantum groups give rise to C*-algebraic quantum groups according to Masuda, Nakagami & Woronowicz. In this paper, we will pull down the analytic structure of these C*-algebraic quantum groups to the algebraic quantum group.

Introduction

Van Daele introduced the notion of an algebraic quantum group in [14]. It is essentially a Multiplier Hopf-*-algebra with a non-zero left invariant functional on it. He proved that these algebaic quantum groups form a well-behaved category :

- The left and right invariant functionals are unique up to a scalar.
- The left and right invariant functionals are faithful.
- Each algebraic quantum group gives rise to a dual algebraic quantum group.
- The dual of the dual is isomorphic to the original algebraic quantum group.

This category of algebraic quantum groups contains the compact and discrete quantum groups. It is also closed under the double construction of Drinfel'd so it contains also non-compact non-discrete quantum groups. Most of the groups and quantum groups will however not belong to this category.
It is nevertheless worth while to study these algebraic quantum groups :

- The category contains interesting examples.
- The theoretical framework is not technically complicated. In a sense, you have only to worry about essential quantum group problems. Not over C*-algebraic complications.

---

[1] Research Assistant of the National Fund for Scientific Research (Belgium)

At the moment, Masuda, Nakagami & Woronowicz are working on a possible definition of a C*-algebraic quantum group. To get an idea of this definition, we refer to [10]. You can also get a flavour of it in [5] and [9]. This definition is technically rather involved and C*-algebraic quantum groups in this scheme have a rich analytical sructure.

We proved in [5] and [9] that an algebraic quantum group with a positive left invariant functional gives rise to such C*-algebraic quantum groups. In this paper, we will pull down this analytic structure to the algebra level. It shows that such algebraic quantum groups are truely algebraic versions of C*-algebraic quantum groups according to Masuda, Nakagami & Woronowicz.

In the first section, we give an overview of the theory of algebraic quantum groups as can be found in [14]. The second and third section are essentially only intended to assure that an obvious theory of analytic one-parameter groups works fine on this algebra level.
The most important results can be found in section 4 where we prove that the analytic objects of the C*-algebraic quantum groups are of an algebraic nature.
In the last section, we connect the analytic objects of the dual to the analytic objects of the original algebraic quantum group.

We end this section with some conventions and notations.

Every algebra in this paper is an associative algebra over the complex numbers (not necessarily unital). A homomorphism between algebras is by definition a linear multiplicative mapping. A *-homomorphism between *-algebras is a homomorphism which preserves the *-operation.

If V is a vector space, then $L(V)$ denotes the set of linear mappings from V into V.
If V, W are two vector spaces, $V \odot W$ denotes the algebraic tensor product. The flip from $V \odot W$ to $W \odot V$ will be denoted by χ.
We will also use the symbol $\odot$ to denote the algebraic tensor product of two linear mappings.

We will always use the minimal tensor product between two C*-algebras and we will use the symbol $\otimes$ for it. This symbol will also be used to denote the completed tensor product of two mappings which are sufficiently continuous.

If z is a complex number, then $S(z)$ will denote the following horizontal strip in the complex plane : $S(z) = \{\, y \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} y \in [0, \operatorname{Im} z] \,\}$.

1 Algebraic quantum groups

In this first section, we will introduce the notion of an algebraic quantum group as can be found in [14]. Moreover, we will give an overview of the properties of this algebraic quantum group. The proofs of these results can be found in the same paper [14]. We will first introduce some terminology.

We call a *-algebra A non-degenerate if and only if we have for every $a \in A$ that :

$$(\forall b \in A : ab = 0) \Rightarrow a = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\forall b \in A : ba = 0) \Rightarrow a = 0.$$

For a non-degenerate *-algebra A, you can define the multiplier algebra $M(A)$. This is a unital *-algebra in which A sits as a selfadjoint ideal (the definition of this multiplier algebra is the same as in the case of C*-algebras).

If you have two non-degenerate *-algebras A, B and a multiplicative linear mapping π from A to $M(B)$, we call π non-degenerate if and only if the vector spaces $\pi(A)B$ and $B\pi(A)$ are equal to B. Such a non-degenerate multiplicative linear map has a unique multiplicative linear extension to $M(A)$. This extension will be denoted by the same symbol as the original mapping. Of course, we have similar definitions and results for antimultiplicative mappings. If we work in an algebraic setting, we will always use this form of non-degeneracy as opposed to the non degeneracy of *-homomorphisms between C*-algebras!

For a linear functional ω on a non-degenerate *-algebra A and any $a \in M(A)$ we define the linear functionals ωa and $a\omega$ on A such that $(a\omega)(x) = \omega(xa)$ and $(\omega a)(x) = \omega(ax)$ for every $x \in A$.

You can find some more information about non-degenerate algebras in the appendix of [17].

Let ω be a linear functional on a *-algebra A, then :

1. ω is called positive if and only if $\omega(a^*a)$ is positive for every $a \in A$.
2. If ω is positive, then ω is called faithful if and only if for every $a \in A$, we have that

$$\omega(a^*a) = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0.$$

Consider a positive linear functional ω on a *-algebra A. Let H be a Hilbert-space and Λ a linear mapping from A into H such that

- $\Lambda(A)$ is dense in H.
- We have for all $a, b \in A$ that $\omega(a^*a) = \langle \Lambda(a), \Lambda(b) \rangle$.

Then we call (H, Λ) a GNS-pair for ω.

Such a GNS-pair always exist and it is unique up to a unitary.

We have now gathered the necessary information to understand the following definition

Definition 1.1 *Consider a non-degenerate *-algebra A and a non-degenerate *-homomorphism Δ from A into $M(A \odot A)$ such that*

1. $(\Delta \odot \iota)\Delta = (\iota \odot \Delta)\Delta$.
2. *The linear mappings T_1, T_2 from $A \odot A$ into $M(A \odot A)$ such that*

$$T_1(a \otimes b) = \Delta(a)(b \otimes 1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad T_2(a \otimes b) = \Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, are bijections from $A \odot A$ to $A \odot A$.

Then we call (A, Δ) a Multiplier Hopf*-algebra.

In [17], A. Van Daele proves the existence of a unique non-zero *-homomorphism ε from A to $\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(\varepsilon \odot \iota)\Delta = (\iota \odot \varepsilon)\Delta = \iota \ .$$

Furthermore, he proves the existence of a unique anti-automorphism S on A such that

$$m(S \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)) = \varepsilon(a)b \qquad \text{and} \qquad m(\iota \odot S)((b \otimes 1)\Delta(a)) = \varepsilon(a)b$$

for every $a, b \in A$ (here, m denotes the multiplication map from $A \odot A$ to A). As usual, ε is called the counit and S the antipode of (A, Δ). Moreover, $S(S(a^*)^*) = a$ for all $a \in A$. Also, $\chi(S \odot S)\Delta = \Delta S$.

Let ω be a linear functional on A an a an element in A. We define the element $(\omega \odot \iota)\Delta(a)$ in $M(A)$ such that

- $(\omega \odot \iota)(\Delta(a))\ b = (\omega \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b))$
- $b\ (\omega \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)) = (\omega \odot \iota)((1 \otimes b)\Delta(a))$

for every $b \in A$.
In a similar way, the multiplier $(\iota \odot \omega)\Delta(a)$ is defined.

Let ω be a linear functional on A. We call ω left invariant (with respect to (A, Δ)), if and only if $(\iota \odot \omega)\Delta(a) = \omega(a)\, 1$ for every $a \in A$. Right invariance is defined in a similar way.

Definition 1.2 *Consider a Multiplier Hopf*-algebra (A, Δ) such that there exists a non-zero positive linear functional φ on A which is left invariant. Then we call (A, Δ) an algebraic quantum group.*

For the rest of this paper, we will fix an algebraic quantum group (A, Δ) together with a non-zero left invariant positive linear functional φ on it.
An important feature of such an algebraic quantum group is the faithfulness and uniqueness of left invariant functionals :

1. Consider a left invariant linear functional ω on A, then there exists a unique element $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega = c\,\varphi$.
2. Consider a non-zero left invariant linear functional ω on A, then ω is faithful.

In particular, φ is faithful.

A first application of this uniqueness result concerns the antipode : Because φS^2 is left invariant, there exists a unique complex number μ such that $\varphi S^2 = \mu\varphi$ (in [14], our μ is denoted by τ!). It is not so difficult to prove in an algebraic way that $|\mu| = 1$. The question remains open if there exists an example of an algebraic quantum group (in our sense) with $\mu \neq 1$.

We define the linear functional $\psi = \varphi S$. It is clear that ψ is a non-zero right invariant linear functional on A. However, in general, ψ will not be positive. In [5], we use the C*-algebra approach to prove the existence of a non-zero positive right invariant linear functional on A.
Of course, we have similar faithfulness and uniqueness results about right invariant linear functionals.

In this paper, we will need frequently the following formula :

$$(\iota \odot \varphi)(\,(1 \otimes a)\Delta(b)\,) = S(\,(\iota \odot \varphi)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b))\,) \tag{1}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. A proof of this result can be found in proposition 3.11 of [14]. It is in fact nothing else but an algebraic form of the strong left invariance in the definition of Masuda, Nakagami & Woronowicz.

Another non-trivial property about φ is the existence of a unique automorphism ρ on A such that $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(b\rho(a))$ for every $a, b \in A$. We call this the weak KMS-property of φ (In [14], our mapping ρ is denoted by σ!).
This weak KMS-property is crucial to extend φ to a weight on the C*-algebra level. We have moreover that $\rho(\rho(a^*)^*) = a$ for every $a \in A$.
As usual, there exists a similar object ρ' for the right invariant functional ψ, i.e. ρ' is an automorphism on A such that $\psi(ab) = \psi(b\rho'(a))$ for every $a, b \in A$.
Using the antipode, we can connect ρ and ρ' via the formula $S\rho' = \rho S$. Furthermore, we have that S^2 commutes with ρ and ρ'. The interplay between ρ,ρ' and Δ is given by the following formulas :

$$\Delta\rho = (S^2 \odot \rho)\Delta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta\rho' = (\rho' \odot S^{-2})\Delta.$$

It is also possible to introduce the modular function of our algebraic quantum group. This is an invertible element δ in $M(A)$ such that

$$(\varphi \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)) = \varphi(a)\, \delta\, b$$

for every $a, b \in A$.
Concerning the right invariant functional, we have that

$$(\iota \odot \psi)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) = \psi(a)\, \delta^{-1}\, b$$

for every $a, b \in A$.
This modular function is, like in the classical group case, a one dimensional (generally unbounded) corepresentation of our algebraic quantum group :

$$\Delta(\delta) = \delta \odot \delta \qquad \varepsilon(\delta) = 1 \qquad S(\delta) = \delta^{-1}.$$

As in the classical case, we can relate the left invariant functional to our right invariant functional via the modular function : we have for every $a \in A$ that

$$\varphi(S(a)) = \varphi(a\delta) = \mu\, \varphi(\delta a).$$

If we apply this equality two times and use the fact that $S(\delta) = \delta^{-1}$, we get that $\varphi(S^2(a)) = \varphi(\delta^{-1} a \delta)$ for every $a \in A$.

Not surprisingly, we have also that $\rho(\delta) = \rho'(\delta) = \mu^{-1}\delta$.

Another connection between ρ and ρ' is given by the equality $\rho'(a) = \delta\rho(a)\delta^{-1}$ for all $a \in A$.

We have also a property which says, loosely speaking, that every element of A has compact support (see e.g. [6] for a proof) :
Consider $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$. Then there exists an element c in A such that $c\, a_i = a_i\, c = a_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

In a last part, we are going to say something about duality.
We define the subspace $\hat{A}$ of A' as follows :

$$\hat{A} = \{\, \varphi a \mid a \in A \,\} = \{\, a\varphi \mid a \in A \,\}.$$

Like in the theory of Hopf*-algebras, we turn $\hat{A}$ into a non-degenerate *-algebra :

1. For every $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$, we have that $(\omega_1\omega_2)(a) = (\omega_1 \odot \omega_2)(\Delta(a))$.
2. For every $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$, we have that $\omega^*(a) = \overline{\omega(S(a)^*)}$.

We should remark that a little bit of care has to be taken by defining the product and the *-operation in this way.

In [6], we proved that $M(\hat{A})$ can be implemented as a subspace of A' (theorem 2.12 and proposition 7.4)

Proposition 1.3 *As a vector space, $M(\hat{A})$ is equal to*

$$\{\, \theta \in A' \mid \text{ We have for every } a \in A \text{ that } (\theta \odot \iota)\Delta(a) \text{ and } (\iota \odot \theta)\Delta(a) \text{ belong to } A \,\} .$$

The multiplication and * operation on $M(\hat{A})$ are defined in the following way.

1. *We have for every $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in M(\hat{A})$ and $a \in A$ that $(\theta_1\theta_2)(a) = \theta_1((\iota \odot \theta_2)\Delta(a)) = \theta_2((\theta_1 \odot \iota)\Delta(a))$.*

2. *We have for every $\theta \in M(\hat{A})$ and $a \in A$ that $\theta^*(a) = \overline{\theta(S(a)^*)}$.*

Furthermore, ε is the unit of $M(\hat{A})$.

This implies also that $M(\hat{A} \odot \hat{A})$ can be viewed as a subspace of $(A \odot A)'$. Then we can use this implementation of $M(\hat{A} \odot \hat{A})$ to define a comultiplication $\hat{\Delta}$ on $\hat{A}$ such that $\hat{\Delta}(\omega)(x \otimes y) = \omega(xy)$ for every $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $x, y \in A$.

A definition of the comultiplication $\hat{\Delta}$ without the use of such an embedding can be found in definition 4.4 of [14].

In this way, $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta})$ becomes a Multiplier Hopf*-algebra. The counit $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and the antipode $\hat{S}$ are such that

1. We have for every $\omega \in A'$ and $a \in A$ that $\hat{\varepsilon}(\omega a) = \hat{\varepsilon}(a\omega) = \omega(a)$.
2. We have for every $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$ and $a \in A$ that $\hat{S}(\omega)(a) = \omega(S(a))$.

For any $a \in A$, we define $\hat{a} = a\varphi \in \hat{A}$. The mapping $A \rightarrow \hat{A} : a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a bijection, which is in fact nothing else but the Fourier transform.

Next, we define the linear functional $\hat{\psi}$ on $\hat{A}$ such that $\hat{\psi}(\hat{a}) = \varepsilon(a)$ for every $a \in A$. It is possible to prove that $\hat{\psi}$ is right invariant.

We have also that $\hat{\psi}(\hat{a}^*\hat{a}) = \varphi(a^*a)$ for every $a \in A$. This implies that $\hat{\psi}$ is a non-zero positive right invariant linear functional on $\hat{A}$.

We will also define a linear functional $\hat{\varphi}$ on $\hat{A}$ such that $\hat{\varphi}(\psi a) = \varepsilon(a)$ for $a \in A$. Then $\hat{\varphi}$ is a non-zero left invariant functional on $\hat{A}$. It is not clear wether $\hat{\varphi}$ is positive.

From theorem 9.9 of [5], we know that (A, Δ) possesses a non-zero positive right invariant linear functional. In a similar way, this functional will give rise to a non-zero positive left invariant linear functional on $\hat{A}$. This will imply that $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta})$ is again an algebraic quantum group.

2 Analytic one-parameter groups

In this section, we introduce the notion of analytic one-parameter groups on an algebraic quantum group. It is an obvious variant of the well-known concept of one-parameter groups on a C*-algebra. As a consequence, the proofs in this section are essentially the same as in the C*-algebra case.

These analytic one-parameter groups will be essential in a later section in order to describe the polar decomposition of the antipode and the modular properties of the Haar functional on the *-algebra level.

We will fix an algebraic quantum group (A, Δ) with a positive left invariant functional φ on it. Let (H, Λ) be a GNS-pair of φ.

Let us start of with the definition of an analytic one-parameter group on (A, Δ).

Definition 2.1 *Consider a function α from $\mathbb{C}$ into the set of homomorphisms from A into A such that the following properties hold :*

1. *We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that α_t is a *-automorphism on A.*
2. *We have for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\alpha_{s+t} = \alpha_s \alpha_t$.*
3. *We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that α_t is relatively invariant under φ*

4. Consider $a \in A$ and $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \omega(\alpha_z(a))$ is analytic.

Then we call α an analytic one-parameter group on (A, Δ).

Except for the last proposition in this section, we will fix an analytic one-parameter group α on (A, Δ). We will prove the basic properties of α.

Result 2.2 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\alpha_z(a)^* = \alpha_{\overline{z}}(a^*)$ for $a \in A$.*

Proof : Choose $a \in A$.
Take $b \in A$. Then we have two analytic functions

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \overline{\varphi(\alpha_{\overline{u}}(a^*)\, b^*)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi(b\, \alpha_u(a))$$

These functions are equal on the real axis : we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\overline{\varphi(\alpha_{\overline{t}}(a^*)\, b^*)} = \overline{\varphi(\alpha_t(a^*)\, b^*)} = \varphi(b\, \alpha_t(a))$$

So they must be equal on the whole complex plane.
We have in particular that $\overline{\varphi(\alpha_{\overline{z}}(a^*)\, b^*)} = \varphi(b\, \alpha_z(a))$ which implies that $\varphi(b\, \alpha_{\overline{z}}(a^*)^*) = \varphi(b\, \alpha_z(a))$.
So the faithfulness of φ implies that $\alpha_{\overline{z}}(a^*)^* = \alpha_z(a)$. ■

In the next result, we extend the group character of α to the whole complex plane.

Result 2.3 *Consider $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\alpha_{y+z} = \alpha_y\, \alpha_z$.*

Proof : Choose $a \in A$.
Take $b \in A$. Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ for the moment. Then there exists by assumption a strictly positive number M such that $\varphi\, \alpha_s = M\, \varphi$. We have two analytic functions :

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto M\, \varphi(\alpha_{-s}(b)\alpha_u(a)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi(b\, \alpha_{s+u}(a))$$

These functions are equal on the real axis : we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$M\, \varphi(\alpha_{-s}(b)\alpha_t(a)) = \varphi\big(\alpha_s(\alpha_{-s}(b)\alpha_t(a))\big) = \varphi\big(b\, \alpha_s(\alpha_t(a))\big) = \varphi(b\, \alpha_{s+t}(a))$$

So these two functions must be equal on the whole complex plane.
In particular, $M\, \varphi(\alpha_{-s}(b)\alpha_z(a)) = \varphi(b\, \alpha_{s+z}(a))$. Therefore, we get that

$$\varphi(b\, \alpha_{s+z}(a)) = M\, \varphi(\alpha_{-s}(b)\alpha_z(a)) = \varphi\big(\alpha_s(\alpha_{-s}(b)\alpha_z(a))\big) = \varphi\big(b\, \alpha_s(\alpha_z(a))\big) \qquad (*)$$

Now we have again two analytic functions :

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi\big(b\, \alpha_u(\alpha_z(a))\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi(b\, \alpha_{u+z}(a))$$

which by (*) are equal on the real axis. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane.
Hence we get in particular that $\varphi(b\, \alpha_{y+z}(a)) = \varphi\big(b\, \alpha_y(\alpha_z(a))\big)$.
Therefore the faithfulness of φ implies that $\alpha_{y+z}(a) = \alpha_y(\alpha_z(a))$. ■

Corollary 2.4 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then α_z is an automorphism on A and $(\alpha_z)^{-1} = \alpha_{-z}$.*

We want to use α to define a positive injective operator in the GNS-space H of φ. In order to do so, we will need the following results.

Result 2.5 *There exists a unique strictly positive number* λ *such that* $\varphi\,\alpha_t = \lambda^t\,\varphi$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof : By assumption, there exists for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a strictly positive element λ_t such that $\varphi\,\alpha_t = \lambda_t\,\varphi$. It is then clear that $\lambda_0 = 1$ and that $\lambda_s\,\lambda_t = \lambda_{s+t}$ for every $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now there exist $a,b \in A$ such that $\varphi(ba) \neq 0$.
We have that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : t \rightarrow \varphi(\alpha_{-t}(b)\,a)$ is continuous and non-zero in 0. Hence there exist a strictly positive number t_0 such that $\varphi(\alpha_{-t}(b)\,a) \neq 0$ for $t \in [-t_0,t_0]$.
Now we have for every $t \in [-t_0,t_0]$ that $\varphi(b\,\alpha_t(a)) = \varphi(\alpha_t(\alpha_{-t}(b)\,a)) = \lambda_t\,\varphi(\alpha_{-t}(b)\,a)$ which implies that $\lambda_t = \frac{\varphi(b\,\alpha_t(a))}{\varphi(\alpha_{-t}(b)\,a)}$. So we see that the function $[-t_0,t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ : t \mapsto \lambda_t$ is continuous.
Therefore the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ : t \mapsto \lambda_t$ is continuous.
We get from this all the existence of a strictly positive number λ such that $\lambda^t = \lambda_t$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So $\varphi\,\alpha_t = \lambda^t\,\varphi$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

The above quality can now be generalized to the whole complex plane.

Result 2.6 *We have that* $\varphi\,\alpha_z = \lambda^z\,\varphi$ *for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof : Choose $a,b \in A$. Then we have two analytic functions

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \lambda^u\,\varphi(a\,\alpha_{-u}(b)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi(\alpha_u(a)\,b)$$

These functions are equal on the real line : we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\lambda^t\,\varphi(a\,\alpha_{-t}(b)) = \varphi(\alpha_t(a\,\alpha_{-t}(b))) = \varphi(\alpha_t(a)\,b)$$

So they must be equal on the whole complex plane.
We have in particular that $\varphi(\alpha_z(a)\,b) = \lambda^z\,\varphi(a\,\alpha_{-z}(b))$, hence $\varphi\big(\alpha_z(a\,\alpha_{-z}(b))\big) = \lambda^z\,\varphi(a\,\alpha_{-z}(b))$.
Because $A = A\,\alpha_{-z}(A)$, we infer from this that $\varphi\,\alpha_z = \lambda^z\,\varphi$. ■

Now we can define a natural positive injective operator in H.

Definition 2.7 *There exists a unique injective positive operator* P *in* H *such that* $P^{it}\Lambda(a) = \lambda^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\Lambda(\alpha_t(a))$ *for* $a \in A$ *and* $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof : We can define a unitary group representation u from $\mathbb{R}$ on H such that $u_t\,\Lambda(a) = \lambda^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\Lambda(\alpha_t(a))$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$.
Choose $a,b \in A$. Then we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\langle u_t\,\Lambda(a),\Lambda(b)\rangle = \lambda^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\langle\Lambda(\alpha_t(a)),\Lambda(b) = \lambda^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\varphi(b^*\alpha_t(a))$$

This implies that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \langle u_t\,\Lambda(a),\Lambda(b)\rangle$ is continuous. Because u is bounded and $\Lambda(A)$ is dense in H, we conclude that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \langle u_t\,v,w\rangle$ is continuous for every $v,w \in H$.
So we see that u is weakly and hence strongly continuous.
By the Stone theorem, there exists a unique injective positive operator P in H such that $P^{it} = u_t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

We want to show that every P^{iz} is defined on $\Lambda(A)$ and that the formula in the above definition has its obvious generalization to P^{iz}. First we will need a lemma for this.

Lemma 2.8 *Consider $a \in A$. Then the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \lambda^{-\operatorname{Re} z}\, \varphi(\alpha_z(a)^* \alpha_z(a))$ is bounded on horizontal strips.*

Proof : Take $r \in \mathbb{R}$. We will prove that the function above is bounded on the horizontal strip $S(ri)$. We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\varphi(\alpha_{ti}(a)^* \alpha_{ti}(a)) = \varphi(\alpha_{-ti}(a^*) \alpha_{ti}(a)) = \lambda^{-it}\, \varphi(a^* \alpha_{2ti}(a))$$

which implies that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ : t \mapsto \varphi(\alpha_{ti}(a)^* \alpha_{ti}(a))$ is continuous.
So there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\varphi(\alpha_{ti}(a)^* \alpha_{ti}(a)) \leq M$ for $t \in [0, r]$.
Hence we get for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\lambda^{-\operatorname{Re} z}\, \varphi(\alpha_z(a)^* \alpha_z(a)) = \lambda^{-\operatorname{Re} z}\, \varphi\big(\alpha_{\operatorname{Re} z}(\alpha_{\operatorname{Im} z}(a))^* \alpha_{\operatorname{Re} z}(\alpha_{\operatorname{Im} z}(a))\big) = \varphi(\alpha_{\operatorname{Im} z}(a)^* \alpha_{\operatorname{Im} z}(a)) \leq M$$

■

Result 2.9 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Lambda(A) \subseteq D(P^{iz})$ and $P^{iz}\Lambda(a) = \lambda^{-\frac{z}{2}}\, \Lambda(\alpha_z(a))$ for $a \in A$.*

Proof : Take $v \in H$ and define the function f from $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(u) = \langle \lambda^{-\frac{u}{2}}\, \Lambda(\alpha_u(a)), v \rangle$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}$.
Define also for every $b \in A$ the function $f_b : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_b(u) = \langle \lambda^{-\frac{u}{2}}\, \Lambda(\alpha_u(a)), \Lambda(b) \rangle$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}$.
Then $f_b(u) = \lambda^{-\frac{u}{2}}\, \varphi(b^* \alpha_u(a))$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}$ which implies that f_b is analytic.

Choose $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the open unit bal B in $\mathbb{C}$ around y.
By the previous lemma, we get the existence of a positive number M such that $\lambda^{-\operatorname{Re} u}\, \varphi(\alpha_u(a)^* \alpha_u(a)) \leq M^2$ for $u \in B$. This implies easily that $\|\lambda^{-\frac{u}{2}} \Lambda(\alpha_u(a))\| \leq M$ for $u \in B$.
Now there exists a sequence $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in A such that $(\Lambda(b_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to v. We have for every $u \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|f(u) - f_{b_n}(u)| = |\langle \lambda^{-\frac{u}{2}}\, \Lambda(\alpha_u(a)), \Lambda(b_n) \rangle - \langle \lambda^{-\frac{u}{2}}\, \Lambda(\alpha_u(a)), v \rangle| \leq M\, \|\Lambda(b_n) - v\|$$

This implies that $(f_{b_n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges uniformly to f on B, so f is analytic on B. This implies that f is analytic in y.

So we see that f is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$. It is also clear that $f(t) = \langle P^{it}\Lambda(a), v \rangle$ for $t \in R$.

This implies that $\Lambda(a) \in D(P^{iz})$ and that $P^{iz}\Lambda(a) = \lambda^{-\frac{z}{2}} \Lambda(\alpha_z(a))$. ■

Result 2.10 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Lambda(A)$ is a core for P^{iz}.*

This follows from the fact that $\Lambda(A)$ is dense in H and that $P^{it}\Lambda(A) \subseteq \Lambda(A)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see e.g. corollary 1.22 of [8]).

In the last proposition of this section, we prove that analytic one-parameter groups are determined by their value in i.

Proposition 2.11 *Consider analytic one-parameter groups α,β on (A, Δ). If $\alpha_i = \beta_i$, then $\alpha = \beta$.*

Proof : It is clear that also $\alpha_{-i} = \beta_{-i}$.
Now there exist strictly positive numbers λ and μ such that $\varphi\,\alpha_t = \lambda^t\,\varphi$ and $\varphi\,\beta_t = \mu^t\,\varphi$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then there exist also positive injective operators P and Q in H such that $P^{it}\Lambda(a) = \lambda^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\Lambda(\alpha_t(a))$ and $Q^{it}\Lambda(a) = \mu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\Lambda(\beta_t(a))$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$.
We know that $\Lambda(A)$ is a core for both P and Q. We have moreover for every $a \in A$ that

$$\mu^{\frac{i}{2}}\,P\Lambda(a) = \mu^{\frac{i}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{i}{2}}\Lambda(\alpha_{-i}(a)) = \lambda^{\frac{i}{2}}\mu^{\frac{i}{2}}\Lambda(\beta_{-i}(a)) = \lambda^{\frac{i}{2}}\,Q\Lambda(a)$$

So we get that $\mu^{\frac{i}{2}}\,P = \lambda^{\frac{i}{2}}\,Q$. It is clear that $\frac{\mu^{\frac{i}{2}}}{\lambda^{\frac{i}{2}}}$ is a positive number. Because is also has modulus 1, it must be equal to 1. So $P = Q$.
Hence we get for every $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\lambda^{-\frac{z}{2}}\Lambda(\alpha_z(a)) = \mu^{-\frac{z}{2}}\Lambda(\beta_z(a))$ which by the faitfulness of φ implies that $\lambda^{-\frac{z}{2}}\,\alpha_z(a) = \mu^{-\frac{z}{2}}\beta_z(a)$.
Now we see that $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\,\alpha_2 = \beta_2$, so we get that $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\,\alpha_2$ is multiplicative. Because also α_2 is multiplicative, this implies that $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} = 1$. Hence $\alpha = \beta$. ■

3 Analytic unitary representations

This section contains the same basic ideas as the previous one. We only apply them in a different situation. We will use the results in this section to introduce complex powers of the modular function of an algebraic quantum group in the next section.

We will again fix an algebraic quantum group (A, Δ) with a positive left Haar functional φ on it. Let (H, Δ) be a GNS-pair for φ.

Let us start of with a definition.

Definition 3.1 *Consider a function u from $\mathbb{C}$ into $M(A)$ such that the following properties hold :*

1. *We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that u_t is unitary in $M(A)$.*
2. *We have for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $u_{s+t} = u_s u_t$.*
3. *Consider $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \omega(u_z(a))$ is analytic.*

Then we call u an analytic unitary representation on A.

Except for the last result of this section, we will fix an analytic one-parameter representation u on A. We will prove the basic properties of u.

Result 3.2 *We have that $u_z^* = u_{-\overline{z}}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof : Take $a \in A$. Then we have two analytic functions

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : y \mapsto \overline{\varphi(u_{-\overline{y}}\,a^*)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : y \mapsto \varphi(a\,u_y)$$

These two functions are equal on the real line : we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\overline{\varphi(u_{-\overline{t}}\,a^*)} = \overline{\varphi(u_{-t}\,a^*)} = \varphi(a\,u_{-t}^*) = \varphi(a\,u_t)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane. We have in particular that $\overline{\varphi(u_{-\overline{z}}\,a^*)} = \varphi(a\,u_z)$ which implies that $\varphi(a\,u_z) = \varphi(a\,u_{-\overline{z}}^*)$.
So the faithfulness of φ implies that $u_{-\overline{z}}^* = u_z$. ■

Now we extend the group character of u to the whole complex plane.

Result 3.3 *Consider $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $u_{y+z} = u_y\, u_z$.*

Proof : Choose $a \in A$.
Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ for the moment. We have two analytic functions :

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : c \mapsto \varphi((au_s)\, u_c) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : c \mapsto \varphi(a\, u_{s+c})$$

which are equal on the real axis. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane. In particular, $\varphi(a\, u_s\, u_z) = \varphi(a\, u_{s+z})$. (*)

Now we have again two analytic functions :

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : c \mapsto \varphi(a\, u_c\, u_z) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : c \mapsto \varphi(a\, u_{c+z})$$

which by (*) are equal on the real axis. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane.
Hence we get in particular that $\varphi(a\, u_{y+z}) = \varphi(a\, u_y\, u_z)$.
Therefore the faithfulness of φ implies that $u_{y+z} = u_y\, u_z$. ■

Corollary 3.4 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then u_z is invertible in $M(A)$ and $(u_z)^{-1} = u_{-z}$.*

We next use u to define a positive injective operator in the GNS-space H of φ.

Definition 3.5 *There exists a unique injective positive operator P in H such that $P^{it}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(u_t\, a)$ for $a \in A$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof : We can define a unitary group representation v from $\mathbb{R}$ on H such that $v_t\, \Lambda(a) = \Lambda(u_t\, a)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$.
Choose $a, b \in A$. Then we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\langle v_t\, \Lambda(a), \Lambda(b)\rangle = \langle \Lambda(u_t\, a), \Lambda(b)\rangle = \varphi(b^* u_t\, a)$$

This implies that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \langle v_t\, \Lambda(a), \Lambda(b)\rangle$ is continuous. Because v is bounded and $\Lambda(A)$ is dense in H, we conclude that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \langle u_t\, v, w\rangle$ is continuous for every $v, w \in H$.
So we see that u is weakly and hence strongly continuous.
By the Stone theorem, there exists a unique injective positive operator P in H such that $P^{it} = u_t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

We want to show that every P^{iz} is defined on $\Lambda(A)$ and that the formula in the above definition has its obvious generalization to P^{iz}. First we will need a lemma for this.

Lemma 3.6 *Consider $a \in A$. Then function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \varphi((u_z\, a)^*(u_z\, a))$ is bounded on horizontal strips.*

Proof : Take $r \in \mathbb{R}$. We will prove that the function above is bounded on the horizontal strip $S(ri)$.
We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\varphi((u_{ti}\, a)^*(u_{ti}\, a)) = \varphi(a^* u_{ti}\, u_{ti}\, a) = \varphi(a^* u_{2ti}\, a)$$

which implies that the function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ : t \mapsto \varphi((u_{ti}\, a)^*(u_{ti}\, a))$ is continuous.
So there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\varphi((u_{ti}\, a)^*(u_{ti}\, a)) \leq M$ for $t \in [0, r]$.

Hence we get for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((u_z\, a)^*(u_z\, a)) &= \varphi\big((u_{\operatorname{Re} z}\, u_{i \operatorname{Im} z}\, a)^*\, u_{\operatorname{Re} z}\, u_{i \operatorname{Im} z}\, a\big) = \varphi((u_{i \operatorname{Im} z}\, a)^*\, u_{\operatorname{Re} z}^*\, u_{\operatorname{Re} z}\, (u_{i \operatorname{Im} z}\, a)) \\ &= \varphi((u_{i \operatorname{Im} z}\, a)^*(u_{i \operatorname{Im} z}\, a)) \leq M \end{aligned}$$

■

Result 3.7 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Lambda(A) \subseteq D(P^{iz})$ and $P^{iz}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(u_z\, a)$ for $a \in A$.*

Proof : Take $v \in H$ and define the function f from $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(c) = \langle \Lambda(u_c\, a), v\rangle$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Define also for every $b \in A$ the function $f_b : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_b(c) = \langle \Lambda(u_c\, a), \Lambda(b)\rangle$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$.
Then $f_b(c) = \varphi(b^* u_c\, a)$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$ which implies that f_b is analytic.

Choose $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the open unit bal B in $\mathbb{C}$ around y.
By the previous lemma, we get the existence of a positive number M such that $\varphi((u_c\, a)^*(u_c\, a)) \leq M^2$ for $c \in B$. This implies easily that $\|\Lambda(u_c\, a)\| \leq M$ for $c \in B$
Now there exists a sequence $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in A such that $(\Lambda(b_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to v. We have for every $c \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|f(c) - f_{b_n}(c)| = |\langle \Lambda(u_c\, a), \Lambda(b_n)\rangle - \langle \Lambda(u_c\, a), v\rangle| \leq M\, \|\Lambda(b_n) - v\|$$

This implies that $(f_{b_n})_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly to f on B, so f is analytic on B. This implies that f is analytic in y.

So we see that f is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$. It is also clear that $f(t) = \langle P^{it}\Lambda(a), v\rangle$ for $t \in R$.

This implies that $\Lambda(a) \in D(P^{iz})$ and that $P^{iz}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(u_z\, a)$. ■

Result 3.8 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Lambda(A)$ is a core for P^{iz}.*

This follows from the fact that $\Lambda(A)$ is dense in H and that $P^{it}\Lambda(A) \subseteq \Lambda(A)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see e.g. corollary 1.22 of [8]).

In the last proposition of this section, we prove that analytic unitary representations are determined by their value in i.

Result 3.9 *Consider analytic unitary representations u,v on A. If $u_i = v_i$, then $u = v$.*

Proof : It is clear that also $u_{-i} = v_{-i}$.
Now there exist also positive injective operators P and Q in H such that $P^{it}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(u_t\, a)$ and $Q^{it}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(v_t\, a)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$.
We know that $\Lambda(A)$ is a core for both P and Q. We have moreover for every $a \in A$ that

$$P\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(u_{-i}\, a) = \Lambda(v_{-i}\, (a)) = Q\Lambda(a)$$

So we get that $P = Q$. Hence we get for every $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\Lambda(u_z\, a) = \Lambda(v_z\, a)$ which by the faitfulness of φ implies that $u_z\, a = v_z\, a$. ■

4 The analytic structure of an algebraic quantum group

In [5] and [9], we proved that every algebraic quantum group gives rise to a reduced and universal C*-algebraic quantum group in the sense of Masuda, Nakagami and Woronowicz. These C*-algebraic quantum groups have a very rich (complicated?) analytic structure. We show in this section that this analytic structure can be completely pulled down to the algebraic level.

Consider an algebraic quantum group (A, Δ) and let φ be a positive left Haar functional of (A, Δ). Let (H, Λ) be a GNS-pair for φ.

In [9], we constructed a universal C*-algebraic quantum group (A_u, Δ_u) out of (A, Δ).
We denote the canonical embedding of A into A_u by π_u. So π_u is an injective *-homomorphism into A_u such that $\pi_u(A)$ is dense in A_u. We have also for every $a \in A$ and $x \in A \odot A$ that

$$\Delta_u(\pi_u(a))\,(\pi_u \odot \pi_u)(x) = (\pi_u \odot \pi_u)(\Delta(a)\,x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\pi_u \odot \pi_u)(x)\,\Delta_u(\pi_u(a)) = (\pi_u \odot \pi_u)(x\,\Delta(a))$$

As a rule, we will give objects associated with A_u a subscript 'u'. So the left Haar weight on (A_u, Δ_u) will be denoted by φ_u and its modular group by σ_u.

The scaling group of (A_u, Δ_u) will be denoted by τ_u, the anti-unitary antipode by R_u.
The modular group of the right Haar weight $\varphi_u\, R_u$ will be denoted by σ'_u.

We will also need the co-unit on the universal C*-algebraic quantum group (A_u, Δ_u). Recall that we have a co-unit ε on the algebraic quantum group (A, Δ). This gives rise to a non-zero *-homomorphism ε_u from A_u into $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\varepsilon_u\, \pi_u = \varepsilon$. Then we have that $(\varepsilon_u \otimes \iota)\Delta_u = (\iota \otimes \varepsilon_u)\Delta_u = \iota$.
The usefulness of the antipode is the only reason to prefer the universal C*-algebraic quantum group over the reduced one in this section.

In [5], we constructed a reduced C*-algebraic quantum group (A_r, Δ_r) out of (A, Δ).
We denote the canonical embedding of A into A_r by π_r. So π_r is an injective *-homomorphism into A_r such that $\pi_r(A)$ is dense in A_r.
Objects associated to (A_r, Δ_r) will get the subscript 'r'. So will we denote the left Haar weight on (A_r, Δ_r) by φ_r and its modular group σ_r.

By the universality of A_u, there exists a unique *-homomorphism π from A_u into A_r such that $\pi\,\pi_u = \pi_r$. This mapping π connects al objects of A_u and A_r. So have we for instance that $\varphi_r\,\pi = \varphi_u$ and $\pi\,(\sigma_u)_t = (\sigma_r)_t\,\pi$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The first object that we will pull down to the algebra level is the modular function δ_u of the C*-algebraic quantum group. So δ_u is a strictly positive element affiliated with A_u. The main result to pull down δ_u to the algebra level is already proven in proposition 8.12 of [5] and proposition 12.11 of [9].

By proposition 12.11 of [9], we get for every $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\pi_u(a)$ belongs to $D(\delta_u^{iz})$ and that $\delta_u^{iz}\,\pi_u(a)$ belongs again to $\pi_u(A)$. We will use this fact to define any complex power of δ on the algebra level. The definition that we introduce in this paper is much better than the ad hoc definitions in [5] and [9] because we work here within the framework of the algebraic quantum group (A, Δ).

Proposition 4.1 *There exist a unique analytic unitary representation w on A such that $w_{-i} = \delta$. We define $\delta^z = w_{-iz}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof : We know already that $\delta_u^{iy}\,\pi_u(A) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$ for $y \in \mathbb{C}$.
It is then not very difficult to prove the existence of a mapping w from $\mathbb{C}$ into $M(A)$ such that $\pi_u(w_y\,a) = \delta_u^{iy}\,\pi_u(a)$ for $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$ and such that $w_y^* = w_{-\overline{y}}$ for $y \in \mathbb{C}$.
Then it easy to check that

1. $w_0 = 1$
2. We have for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $w_{s+t} = w_s\,w_t$.

Take $\omega \in A$. Then there exists $a, b, c \in A$ such that $\omega = ab\varphi c^*$.
We know that the $\pi_u(a)$ is analytic with respect to δ_u. This means that the mapping $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A_u : y \mapsto \delta_u^{iy}\,\pi_u(a)$ is analytic. This gives us that the mapping $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : y \mapsto \varphi_u(\pi_u(c)^*\,(\delta_u^{iy}\,\pi_u(a))\,\pi_u(b))$ is analytic. But we have for every $y \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\varphi_u(\pi_u(c)^*\,(\delta_u^{iy}\,\pi_u(a))\,\pi_u(b)) = \varphi_u(\pi_u(c)^*\,\pi_u(w_y\,a)\,\pi_u(b)) = \varphi_u(\pi_u(c^*\,w_y\,ab)) = \omega(w_y)$$

so the function $\mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C} : y \mapsto \omega(w_y)$ is analytic.

So we get by definition that w is an analytic one-parameter representation on A. Proposition 12.11 of [9] gives us that $w_{-i} = \delta$. ■

Notice that we have also proven the following characterization for powers of δ.

Proposition 4.2 *We have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$ that $\pi_u(\delta^z\,a) = \delta_u^z\,\pi_u(a)$ for $a \in A$.*

So we have in particular that $\pi_u(\delta^{it}\,a) = \delta_u^{it}\,\pi_u(a)$ and $\pi_u(a\,\delta^{it}) = \pi_u(a)\,\delta_u^{it}$ for $a \in A$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will quickly recall the basic calculation rules for powers of δ (which are immediate consequences of the results of the previous section).

Proposition 4.3 *We have the following calculation rules :*

1. *$\delta^0 = 1$ and $\delta^1 = \delta$*
2. *We have for $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\delta^{y+z} = \delta^y\,\delta^z$*
3. *We have for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $(\delta^z)^* = \delta^{\overline{z}}$*
4. *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then δ^z is invertible in $M(A)$ and $(\delta^z)^{-1} = \delta^{-z}$.*
5. *Consider $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then δ^{it} is a unitary element in $M(A)$.*
6. *Consider $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then δ^t is a selfadjoint element in $M(A)$.*

Remark 4.4 Let t be a real number. Then δ^t is positive in the sense that $\delta^t = \delta^{\frac{t}{2}}\,\delta^{\frac{t}{2}}$ where $\delta^{\frac{t}{2}}$ is self adjoint.

We have also the following analyticity property.

Result 4.5 *We have for every $\omega \in \hat{A}$ that the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \rightarrow \omega(\delta^z)$ is analytic.*

By proposition 12.2 of [9], we know that $\pi(\delta_u) = \delta_r$. Hence we get that $\pi(\delta_u^z) = \delta_r^z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies then easily the next proposition.

Proposition 4.6 *We have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$ that $\pi_r(\delta^z\, a) = \delta_r^z\, \pi_r(a)$ for $a \in A$.*

So we have in particular that $\pi_r(\delta^{it}\, a) = \delta_r^{it}\, \pi_u(a)$ and $\pi_r(a\, \delta^{it}) = \pi_r(a)\, \delta_u^{it}$ for $a \in A$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the next part of this section, we are going to pull down the other objects σ_u, σ'_u, τ_u and R_u to the algebra level.

By result 12.8 of [9], we get for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$(\sigma'_u)_t(\pi_u(A)) = (\sigma_u)_t(\delta_u^{it}\, \pi_u(A)\, \delta_u^{-it}) = (\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(\delta^{it}\, A\, \delta^{-it})) = (\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(A))$$

Proposition 4.7 *Consider $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have the equalities*

$$(\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A) \qquad\qquad (\sigma'_u)_t(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A) \qquad\qquad (\tau_u)_t(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A)$$

Proof : Take $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Choose $a \in A$. Because $\varepsilon_u \neq 0$, we get that $\varepsilon_u(\sigma_u)_s \neq 0$. Hence the density of $\pi_u(A)$ in A_u implies the existence of $b \in A$ such that $\varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(b))\big) = 1$.
Now there exist $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in A$ such that $\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \otimes q_i$.
Then

$$\Delta_u(\pi_u(a))\,(1 \otimes \pi_u(b)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_u(p_i) \otimes \pi_u(q_i) \qquad\qquad (*)$$

By result 9.4 of [9], we know that $((\tau_u)_s \otimes (\sigma_u)_s)\Delta_u = \Delta_u\,(\sigma_u)_s$. So if we apply $(\tau_u)_s \otimes (\sigma_u)_s$ to the equation above, we get that

$$\Delta_u\big((\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(a))\big)\,\big(1 \otimes (\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(b))\big) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_u)_s(\pi_u(p_i)) \otimes (\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(q_i))$$

If we now apply $\iota \otimes \varepsilon_u$ to the equation above and use the fact that $(\iota \otimes \varepsilon_u)\Delta_u = \iota$, we see that

$$(\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_u)_s(\pi_u(p_i))\; \varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(q_i))\big)$$

which implies that

$$((\tau_u)_{-s}\,(\sigma_u)_s)(\pi_u(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_u(p_i)\; \varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_s(\pi_u(q_i))\big)$$

So we see that $((\tau_u)_{-s}\,(\sigma_u)_s)(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$. (a)

We have also the equality $((\sigma'_u)_s \otimes (\tau_u)_{-s})\Delta_u = \Delta_u\,(\sigma'_u)_s$ (result 10.16 of [9]). This gives us in a similar way that $((\tau_u)_s\,(\sigma'_u)_s)(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$. So by the remarks before this proposition, we get that $((\tau_u)_s\,(\sigma_u)_s)(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$ which implies by that $((\sigma_u)_s\,(\tau_u)_s)(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$. (b)

Therefore (a) and (b) imply that $(\sigma_u)_{2s}(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$.

As a consequence, we have for every $r \in R$ that $(\sigma_u)_r(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$. This implies for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ that $(\sigma_u)_r(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A)$.
Combining this with equality (a), we get that $(\tau_u)_r(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

We want now to prove a generalization of this result for complex parameters. The idea behind this proof is completely the same but we have to be a little bit careful in this case.

Recall from [9] that every element of $\pi_u(A)$ is analytic with respect to σ_u, σ'_u and τ_u.

First we prove a little lemma.

Lemma 4.8 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A))$ is dense in A_u.*

Proof : Choose $\omega \in A_u^*$ such that $\omega = 0$ on $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A))$.
Take $x \in \pi_u(A)$. Define the analytic function f from $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(c) = \omega((\sigma_u)_c(x))$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$.
We have by the previous proposition for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $(\sigma_u)_t(x)$ belongs to $\pi_u(A)$, which implies that $\omega\big((\sigma_u)_z((\sigma_u)_t(x))\big) = 0$. Hence $f(z+t) = \omega((\sigma_u)_{z+t}(x)) = \omega\big((\sigma_u)_z((\sigma_u)_t(x))\big) = 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
This implies that $f = 0$. In particular, $\omega(x) = f(0) = 0$.
So the density of $\pi_u(A)$ in A_u implies that $\omega = 0$.

Therefore Hahn-Banach implies that $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A))$ is dense in A_u. ∎

Lemma 4.9 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$. Then $(\sigma'_u)_z(\pi_u(a)) = (\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(\delta^{iz}\, a\, \delta^{-iz}))$.*

Proof : We introduce the one-parameter representations L, R, θ on A_u such that

$$L_t(x) = \delta_u^{it}\, x \qquad\qquad R_t(x) = x\, \delta_u^{-it} \qquad\qquad \theta_t(x) = \delta_u^{it}\, x\, \delta_u^{-it}$$

for $x \in A_u$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then L and R commute and $\theta_t = L_t\, R_t$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that $L_z\, R_z \subseteq \theta_z$ (see e.g. proposition 3.9 of [8]).
We know that $\pi(a) \in D(R_z)$ and that $R_z(\pi_u(a)) = \pi_u(a\, \delta_u^{-iz})$. This implies that $R_z(\pi_u(a)) \in D(L_z)$ and that $L_z(R_z(\pi_u(a))) = \pi_u(\delta_u^{iz}\, a\, \delta_u^{-iz})$. So we get that $\pi_u(a) \in D(\theta_z)$ and that $\theta_z(\pi_u(a)) = \pi_u(\delta_u^{iz}\, a\, \delta_u^{-iz})$.

We have moreover that σ_u and θ commute and that $(\sigma'_u)_t = (\sigma_u)_t\, \theta_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies again that $(\sigma_u)_z\, \theta_z \subseteq (\sigma'_u)_z$. Therefore $(\sigma'_u)_z(\pi_u(a)) = (\sigma_u)_z\big(\theta_z(\pi_u(a))\big) = (\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(\delta^{iz}\, a\, \delta^{-iz}))$. ∎

This lemma implies immediately the following one.

Lemma 4.10 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) = (\sigma'_u)_z(\pi_u(A))$.*

Now we are in a position to prove a generalization of proposition 4.7.

Proposition 4.11 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have the equalities*

$$(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A) \qquad\qquad (\sigma'_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A) \qquad\qquad (\tau_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A)$$

Proof : Take $y \in \mathbb{C}$.
Consider elements $a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_m \in A$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in A$ such that the equality $\sum_{i=1}^m \Delta(a_i)(1 \otimes b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \otimes q_j$ holds. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \Delta_u(\pi_u(a_i))\,(1 \otimes \pi_u(b_i)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_u(p_j) \otimes \pi_u(q_j)$$

We have now the following two analytic functions :

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A_u \otimes A_u : c \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \Delta_u\big((\sigma_u)_c(\pi_u(a_i))\big)\,\big(1 \otimes (\sigma_u)_c(\pi_u(b_i))\big)$$

and

$$\mathbb{C} \to A_u \otimes A_u : c \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\tau_u)_c(\pi_u(p_j)) \otimes (\sigma_u)_c(\pi_u(q_j))$$

These two functions are equal on the real line : we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta_u\big((\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(a_i))\big)\,\big(1 \otimes (\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(b_i))\big) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} ((\tau_u)_t \otimes (\sigma_u)_t)\big(\Delta_u(\pi_u(a_i))\big)\,\big(1 \otimes (\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(b_i))\big)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} ((\tau_u)_t \otimes (\sigma_u)_t)\big(\Delta_u(\pi_u(a_i))(1 \otimes \pi_u(b_i))\big) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\tau_u)_t(\pi_u(p_j)) \otimes (\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(q_j))$$

So these functions are equal on the whole complex plane. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta_u\big((\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(a_i))\big)\,\big(1 \otimes (\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(b_i))\big) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\tau_u)_y(\pi_u(p_j)) \otimes (\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(q_j))$$

Applying $\iota \otimes \varepsilon_u$ to this equation and using the fact that $(\iota \otimes \varepsilon_u)\Delta_u = \iota$ gives us now that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(a_i))\ \varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(b_i))\big) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\tau_u)_y(\pi_u(p_j))\ \varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(q_j))\big) \qquad (*)$$

Because $(\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(A))$ is dense in A_u (lemma 4.8) and $\varepsilon_u \neq 0$, we get the existence of $e \in A$ such that $\varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(e))\big) = 1$. Combining this with the fact that $\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A) = A \odot A$ and equation (*), it is not very difficult to see that $(\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(A)) = (\tau_u)_y(\pi_u(A))$. (a)

Using the equation $((\sigma'_u)_t \otimes (\tau_u)_{-t})\Delta_u = \Delta_u\,(\sigma'_u)_t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get in a similar way that $(\sigma'_u)_{-y}(\pi_u(A)) = (\tau_u)_y(\pi_u(A))$ which implies that $(\sigma_u)_{-y}(\pi_u(A)) = (\tau_u)_y(\pi_u(A))$ by the previous lemma.

From (a) and this last equality, we get that $(\sigma_u)_y(\pi_u(A)) = (\sigma_u)_{-y}(\pi_u(A))$.

Take $v \in \pi_u(A)$. By the previous equality, there exists an element $w \in \pi_u(A)$ such that $(\sigma_u)_y(v) = (\sigma_u)_{-y}(w)$. Because $(\sigma_u)_{-y} = ((\sigma_u)_y)^{-1}$, this implies that $(\sigma_u)_y(v) \in D((\sigma_u)_y)$ and $(\sigma_u)_y((\sigma_u)_y(v)) = w$. Hence $(\sigma_u)_{2y}(v) = w \in \pi_u(A)$.

Consequently, $(\sigma_u)_{2y}(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$.

So we get that $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$. Combining this with (a), we get moreover that $(\tau_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) \subseteq \pi_u(A)$. ■

Corollary 4.12 *We have that* $R_u(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A)$.

Proof : We know by theorem 9.18 of [9] that $R_u((\tau_u)_{-\frac{i}{2}}(\pi_u(a))) = \pi_u(S(a))$ for every $a \in A$. Therefore the previous proposition implies that $R_u(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A)$. ■

These results imply that al the objects associated to the C*-algebraic quantum group (A_u, Δ_u) can be pulled down to the algebraic level. The first (and typical) result is contained in the next proposition.

Proposition 4.13 *There exists a unique analytic one-parameter group* σ *on* A *such that* $\sigma_{-i} = \rho$.
We have moreover that $\pi_u(\sigma_z(a)) = (\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a))$ *for every* $a \in A$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof : By proposition 4.11, we know that $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(A)) = \pi_u(A)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
This implies that we can define a mapping σ from $\mathbb{C}$ into the set of mappings from A into A such that $\pi_u(\sigma_z(a)) = (\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a))$ for every $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we get immediately that

1. We have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that α_z is a homomorphism on A.
2. We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that α_t is a *-automorphism on A.
3. We have for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\alpha_{s+t} = \alpha_s\, \alpha_t$.

Choose $z \in A$. Then $\pi_u(a) \in D((\sigma_u)_z) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\varphi_u}$ and $(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a)) = \pi_u(\sigma_z(a)) \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi_u}$.
Because φ_u is invariant under σ_u, this implies by proposition 2.14 of [7] that

$$\varphi_u(\pi_u(a)) = \varphi_u\big((\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a))\big) = \varphi_u\big(\pi_u(\sigma_z(a))\big)$$

which implies that $\varphi(a) = \varphi(\sigma_z(a))$.

Take $a \in A$ and $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then there exist $b, c \in A$ such that $\omega = c\varphi b^*$.
We know by proposition 8.8 of [9] that $\pi_u(a)$ is analytic with respect to σ_u. This implies that the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A_u : z \mapsto (\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a))$ is analytic. Hence the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \varphi_u(\pi_u(b)^*\,(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a))\,\pi_u(c))$ is analytic.
But we have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_u(\pi_u(b)^*\,(\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(a))\,\pi_u(c)) &= \varphi_u(\pi_u(b)^*\pi_u(\sigma_z(a))\pi_u(c)) \\ &= \varphi_u(\pi_u(b^*\sigma_z(a)c)) = \varphi(b^*\sigma_z(a)c) = \omega(\sigma_z(a)) \end{aligned}$$

So the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \omega(\sigma_z(a))$ is analytic.

Hence we get by definition that σ is an analytic one-parameter group on A.
Using proposition 8.8 of [9], we see that $\sigma_{-i} = \rho$. ■

We call σ the modular group of the left Haar weight.

We have also proven the following result.

Result 4.14 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\varphi\,\sigma_z = \varphi$.

There exists a canonical GNS-construction (H, Λ_u, π) for the weight φ_u such that $\pi_u(A)$ is a core for Λ_u and $\Lambda_u(\pi_u(a)) = \Lambda(a)$ for $a \in A$ (see definition 10.2 of [9] and theorem 10.6 of [9]).
Denote the modular operator of φ_u by ∇ and the modular conjugation of φ_u by J (both with respect to this GNS-construction).
Then these objects are completely characterized by the following two results.

Result 4.15 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$*. Then* $\Lambda(A)$ *is a core for* ∇^{iz} *and that* $\nabla^{iz}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(\sigma_z(a))$ *for ever* $a \in A$.

Proof : We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$ that

$$\nabla^{it}\Lambda(a) = \nabla^{it}\Lambda_u(\pi_u(a)) = \Lambda_u((\sigma_u)_t(\pi_u(a))) = \Lambda_u(\pi_u(\sigma_t(a))) = \Lambda(\sigma_t(a))$$

The result follows now from result 2.9. ■

Corollary 4.16 *We have for every* $a \in A$ *that* $J\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)^*)$

By the remarks after definition 8.4 of [9], we know that $\pi\,(\sigma_u)_t = (\sigma_r)_t\,\pi$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $\pi\,(\sigma_u)_z \subseteq (\sigma_r)_z\,\pi$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. So we get easily the next result.

Proposition 4.17 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $a \in A$ *that* $(\sigma_r)_z(\pi_r(a)) = \pi_r(\sigma_z(a))$.

Completely similar to proposition 4.13, we have the next results. Remember that we have proven in corollary 7.3 of [5] the existence of a unique strictly positive number ν such that $\varphi_r\,(\tau_r)_t = \nu^t\,\varphi_r$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Proposition 9.19 of [5], proposition 8.17 of [5] and proposition 8.20 of [7] imply that $\varphi_r\,(\sigma'_r)_t = \nu^{-t}\,\varphi_r$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This gives us also that $\varphi_r\,(\tau_u)_t = \nu^t\,\varphi_u$ and that $\varphi_u\,(\sigma_u)_z = \nu^{-t}\,\varphi_u$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 4.18 *There exists a unique analytic one-parameter group* σ' *on* A *such that* $\sigma'_{-i} = \rho'$. *We have moreover that* $\pi_u(\sigma'_z(a)) = (\sigma'_u)_z(\pi_u(a))$ *for every* $a \in A$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We call σ' the modular function of the right Haar functional.

Result 4.19 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\varphi\,\sigma'_z = \nu^{-z}\,\varphi$.

Proposition 4.20 *We have for every* $a \in A$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\pi_r(\sigma'_z(a)) = (\sigma'_r)_z(\pi_r(a))$.

In the next part, we pull down the polar decomposition of the antipode to the algebra level. The proof of the next proposition is completely similar to the proof of proposition 4.13.

Proposition 4.21 *There exists a unique analytic one-parameter group* τ *on* A *such that* $\tau_{-i} = S^2$. *We have moreover that* $\pi_u(\tau_z(a)) = (\tau_u)_z(\pi_u(a))$ *for every* $a \in A$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We call τ the scaling group of (A,Δ).

Result 4.22 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\varphi\,\tau_z = \nu^z\,\varphi$.

Proposition 4.23 *We have for every* $a \in A$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\pi_r(\tau_z(a)) = (\tau_r)_z(\pi_r(a))$.

Now it is the turn of the anti-unitary antipode R_u to be pulled down. This is possible thanks to corollary 4.12

Definition 4.24 *We define the mapping* R *from* A *into* A *such that* $\pi_u(R(a)) = R_u(\pi_u(a))$ *for* $a \in A$. *Then* R *is a* **-anti-automorphism on* A *such that* $R^2 = \iota$.

We call R the anti-unitary antipode of (A,Δ).

We know by [9] that $R_r\,\pi = \pi\,R_u$ which gives us, as usual, the next result.

Proposition 4.25 *We have for every* $a \in A$ *that* $\pi_r(R(a)) = R_r(\pi_r(a))$.

In the rest of this section, we will prove the most basic relations between the objects introduced in this section. In most cases, the proofs consist of pulling down the corresponding relations on the C*-algebra level. We will make use of the results on the reduced C*-algebra level because they were proved before the results on the universal level (in fact, most of the latter results depend on the results on the reduced level).

First we describe the polar decomposition

Result 4.26 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $R\,\tau_z = \tau_z\,R$.

Proposition 4.27 *We have that* $S = R\,\tau_{-\frac{i}{2}}$.

These are immediate consequences of corollary 5.4 of [5] and theorem 5.6 of [5].

Corollary 4.28 *We have the following commutation relations :*

1. *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\tau_z\,S = S\,\tau_z$.
2. *We have that* $R\,S = S\,R$

By corollary 7.3 of [5], we know that $(\sigma_r)_s\,(\tau_r)_t = (\tau_r)_t\,(\sigma_r)_s$ for $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$. This will be used to prove the next result.

Result 4.29 *We have for every* $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\tau_y\,\sigma_z = \sigma_z\,\tau_y$.

Proof : The remarks before this result imply easily that $\sigma_t\,\tau_s = \tau_s\,\sigma_t$ for $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Take $a, b \in A$.
Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for the moment. Then we have two analytic functions

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi(\sigma_{-t}(b)\,\tau_u(a)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \nu^u\,\varphi\big(\tau_{-u}(b)\,\sigma_t(a)\big)$$

These functions are equal on the real line : we have for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\varphi(\sigma_{-t}(b)\,\tau_s(a)) = \varphi\big(b\,\sigma_t(\tau_s(a))\big) = \varphi\big(b\,\tau_s(\sigma_t(a))\big) = \nu^s\,\varphi(\tau_{-s}(b)\,\sigma_t(a))$$

So they must be equal on $\mathbb{C}$. In particular $\varphi(\sigma_{-t}(b)\,\tau_y(a)) = \nu^y\,\varphi(\tau_{-y}(b)\,\sigma_t(a))$. (*)

Now we have again two analytic functions

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \varphi(\sigma_{-u}(b)\,\tau_y(a)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto \nu^y\,\varphi(\tau_{-y}(b)\,\sigma_u(a))$$

which by (*) are equal on the real line. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane. In particular, $\varphi(\sigma_{-z}(b)\,\tau_y(a)) = \nu^y\,\varphi(\tau_{-y}(b)\,\sigma_z(a))$. This gives us that

$$\varphi\big(b\,\tau_y(\sigma_z(a))\big) = \nu^y\,\varphi(\tau_{-y}(b)\,\sigma_z(a)) = \varphi(\sigma_{-z}(b)\,\tau_y(a)) = \varphi\big(b\,\sigma_y(\tau_z(a))\big)$$

Hence the faithfulness of φ implies that $\sigma_y\,\tau_z = \tau_z\,\sigma_y$. ∎

Now we prove some relations in connection with the comultiplication.

Proposition 4.30 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then we have the following equalities :*

- $(\tau_z \odot \tau_z)\Delta = \Delta\tau_z$
- $(\tau_z \odot \sigma_z)\Delta = \Delta\sigma_z$
- $(\sigma'_z \odot \tau_{-z})\Delta = \Delta\sigma'_z$
- $(\sigma_z \odot \sigma'_{-z})\Delta = \Delta\tau_z$

Proof : We only prove the first equality. The others are proven in the same way.
Choose $a \in A$. Take $b \in A$.
By proposition 5.7 of [5], we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_r \odot \pi_r)(\Delta(\tau_t(a))(\tau_t(b) \otimes 1)) &= \Delta\big(\pi_r(\tau_t(a))\big)\,(\pi_r(\tau_t(b)) \otimes 1) = \Delta\big((\tau_r)_t(\pi_r(a))\big)\,((\tau_r)_t(\pi_r(b)) \otimes 1)\\ &= ((\tau_r)_t \otimes (\tau_r)_t)\big(\Delta_r(\pi_r(a))\big)\,((\tau_r)_t(\pi_r(b)) \otimes 1) = ((\tau_r)_t \otimes (\tau_r)_t)(\Delta_r(\pi_r(a))\,(\pi_r(b) \otimes 1))\\ &= ((\tau_r)_t \otimes (\tau_r)_t)\big((\pi_r \odot \pi_r)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))\big) = (\pi_r \odot \pi_r)\big((\tau_t \odot \tau_t)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))\big)\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Delta(\tau_t(a))(\tau_t(b) \otimes 1) = (\tau_t \odot \tau_t)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) \qquad (*)$$

Choose $p, q \in A$. Then we have two functions

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : y \mapsto (\varphi \odot \varphi)((1 \otimes p)\Delta(q)\Delta(\tau_y(a))(\tau_y(b) \otimes 1))$$

and

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : y \mapsto (\varphi \odot \varphi)\big((1 \otimes p)\Delta(q)(\tau_t \odot \tau_t)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))\big)$$

We see immediately that the second function is analytic. Because $(\varphi\odot\varphi)((1\otimes p)\Delta(q)\Delta(\tau_y(a))(\tau_y(b)\otimes 1)) = \varphi(p\tau_y(b))\,\varphi(q\tau_y(a))$ for $y \in A$, also the first function is analytic.
We know by (*) that both functions are equal on the real axis, so they must be equal on the whole complex plane. In particular, $(\varphi\odot\varphi)((1\otimes p)\Delta(q)\Delta(\tau_z(a))(\tau_z(b)\otimes 1)) = (\varphi\odot\varphi)\big((1\otimes p)\Delta(q)(\tau_z\odot\tau_z)(\Delta(a)(b\otimes 1))\big)$.
Hence the faithfulness of φ implies that

$$\Delta(\tau_z(a))(\tau_z(b) \otimes 1) = (\tau_z \odot \tau_z)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) = (\tau_z \odot \tau_z)(\Delta(a))(\tau_z(b) \otimes 1)$$

So we see that $\Delta(\tau_z(a)) = (\tau_z \odot \tau_z)(\Delta(a))$.

$\blacksquare$

The next equality is proven in the same way as equality (*) in the first part of the previous proof.

Result 4.31 *We have that* $\Delta R = \chi(R \odot R)\Delta$.

By the above equalities and the unicity of the co-unit on (A, Δ), we get the following results.

Corollary 4.32 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then* $\varepsilon\tau_z = \varepsilon$.

Corollary 4.33 *We have that* $\varepsilon R = \varepsilon$.

In the next part, we look at some formulas involving the modular function δ.

The first one says that every δ^z is a one-dimensional corepresentations of (A, Δ).

Proposition 4.34 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\Delta(\delta^z) = \delta^z \otimes \delta^z$.

Proof : Take $a, b \in A$.
Then we have by proposition 8.6 of [5] for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_r \odot \pi_r)(\Delta(\delta^{it})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) &= (\pi_r \odot \pi_r)(\Delta(\delta^{it}\,a)(b \otimes 1)) = \Delta_r(\pi_r(\Delta(\delta^{it}\,a))(\pi_r(b) \otimes 1) \\ &= \Delta_r(\delta_r^{it}\,\pi_r(a))(\pi_r(b) \otimes 1) = (\delta_r^{it} \otimes \delta_r^{it})\,(\pi_r \odot \pi_r)(\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) = (\pi_r \odot \pi_r)((\delta^{it} \otimes \delta^{it})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Delta(\delta^{it})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1) = (\delta^{it} \otimes \delta^{it})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1) \qquad (*)$$

We have now two functions

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto (\varphi \odot \varphi)(\Delta(\delta^{iu})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))$$

and

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto (\varphi \odot \varphi)((\delta^{iu} \otimes \delta^{iu})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))$$

The second function is clearly analytic. Because $(\varphi \odot \varphi)(\Delta(\delta^{iu})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) = \varphi(\delta^{iu}\,a)\,\varphi(b)$ for $u \in A$, also the second is analytic. Furthermore, (*) implies that both functions are equal on the real line. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane. In particular , $(\varphi \odot \varphi)(\Delta(\delta^{z})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)) = (\varphi \odot \varphi)((\delta^{z} \otimes \delta^{z})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1))$
Hence the faithfulness of φ implies that $\Delta(\delta^{z})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1) = (\delta^{z} \otimes \delta^{z})\,\Delta(a)(b \otimes 1)$

So we get that $\Delta(\delta^{z}) = \delta^{z} \otimes \delta^{z}$. ■

Corollary 4.35 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\varepsilon(\delta^{z}) = 1$ *and* $S(\delta^{z}) = \delta^{-z}$.

Result 4.36 *Consider* $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then* $\sigma_z(\delta^{y}) = \nu^{-yz}\,\delta^{y}$ *and* $\tau_z(\delta^{z}) = \delta^{yz}$.

Proof : Choose $a \in A$.
Take $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By proposition 8.17 of [5], we know that $(\sigma_r)_t(\delta_r^{y}) = \nu^{-yt}\,\delta_r^{y}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r(\sigma_t(\delta^{y}\,a)) &= (\sigma_r)_t(\pi_r(\delta^{y}a)) = (\sigma_r)_t(\delta_r^{y}\,\pi_r(a)) \\ &= (\sigma_r)_y(\delta_r^{y})\,(\sigma_r)_t(\pi_r(a)) = \nu^{-yt}\,\delta_r^{y}\,\pi_r(\sigma_t(a)) = \nu^{-yt}\,\pi_r(\delta^{y}\,\sigma_t(a))\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\sigma_t(\delta^{y}\,a) = \nu^{-yt}\,\delta^{y}\,\sigma_t(a)$. (*)

Take $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then we have two analytic functions

$$\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : c \mapsto \omega(\sigma_c(\delta^{y}\,a)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : c \mapsto \nu^{-yc}\,\omega(\delta^{y}\,\sigma_c(a))$$

which by (*) are equal on the real line. So they must be equal on the whole complex plane. In particular, $\omega(\sigma_z(\delta^{y}\,a)) = \nu^{-yz}\,\omega(\delta^{y}\,\sigma_z(a))$.
Hence we get that $\sigma_z(\delta^{y}\,a) = \nu^{-yz}\,\delta^{y}\,\sigma_z(a)$, so $\sigma_z(\delta^{y})\,\sigma_z(a) = \nu^{-yz}\,\delta^{y}\,\sigma_z(a)$.

So we see that $\sigma_z(\delta^{y}) = \nu^{-yz}\,\delta^{y}$. The equality concerning τ is proven in the same way. ■

Combining the result concerning τ with corollary 4.35 and proposition 4.27, we get the following one.

Result 4.37 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then* $R(\delta^{z}) = \delta^{-z}$.

Definition 9.2 of [5] implies for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $(\sigma'_r)_z = R_r\,(\sigma_r)_{-z}\,R_r$. So we get the next result.

Result 4.38 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then* $\sigma'_z = R\,\sigma_{-z}\,R = S\,\sigma_{-z}\,S^{-1} = S^{-1}\,\sigma_{-z}\,S$.

Lemma 4.9 implies now the following formula for σ'.

Result 4.39 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $a \in A$ *that* $\sigma'_z(a) = \delta^{iz}\,\sigma_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz}$.

We end this section with some remarks concerning the right Haar functional on (A, Δ). Recall that we have a right Haar functional φS on (A, Δ) but we do not know (yet) whether φS is positive. By the formula $\chi(R \odot R)\Delta = \Delta R$, we have however the following proposition.

Theorem 4.40 *The functional* φR *is a positive Haar functional on* (A, Δ).

Because $S = \tau_{-\frac{i}{2}}\,R$, we have moreover that $\varphi S = \nu^{-\frac{i}{2}}\,\varphi R$.
Because $\varphi S = \delta\varphi$, we have also that $\varphi(R(a)) = \varphi(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\,a\,\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for $a \in A$.

5 The analytic structure of the dual

In this section, we will connect the alytic objects associated to the dual of an algebraic quantum groups to the analytic objects of this algebraic quantum groups.

So consider an algebraic quantum group (A, Δ) with a positive left Haar functional φ on it. As in the previous section, we will use the notations σ for the modular group of the left Haar functional, σ' for the modular group of the right Haar functional, τ for the scaling group and R for the anti-unitary antipode.

The corresponding objects on the dual quantum group $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta})$ will get a hat on them, e.g. $\hat{\sigma}$ will denote the modular group of the left Haar functional on $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta})$.

First we start with the modular group of the dual quantum group $(\hat{A}, \hat{\Delta})$. (Similar results are also considered in [10]).

We will introduce first a temporary notation. Consider $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$. Then we define $\omega_z \in A'$ such that $\omega_z(a) = \omega(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})$ for $a \in A$.

Lemma 5.1 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $a \in A$. *Then*

$$(\omega_z \odot \iota)\Delta(a) = \tau_{-z}\big(\,[(\omega \odot \iota)\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})]\;\delta^{iz}\,\big) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (\iota \odot \omega_z)\Delta(a) = \tau_{-z}\big(\,[(\iota \odot \omega)\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})]\;\delta^{iz}\,\big)$$

Proof : Take $b \in A$. Then we have that

$$[(\omega_z \odot \iota)\Delta(a)]\,b = (\omega_z \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b)) = (\omega \odot \iota)\big((\tau_z \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b))\,(\delta^{-iz} \otimes 1)\big) \qquad (*)$$

Using proposition 4.30, proposition 4.34 and result 4.36, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau_z \odot \iota)(\Delta(a)(1 \otimes b))\;(\delta^{-iz} \otimes 1) &= (\iota \odot \tau_{-z})\big(\Delta(\tau_z(a))\,(\delta^{-iz} \otimes \tau_z(b))\big)\\ &= (\iota \odot \tau_{-z})\big(\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})\,(1 \otimes \delta^{iz}\,\tau_z(b))\big) = (\iota \odot \tau_{-z})\big(\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})\,(1 \otimes \tau_z(\delta^{iz}b))\big)\end{aligned}$$

So by applying $\omega \odot \iota$ to this equation and using (*), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}[(\omega_z \odot \iota)\Delta(a)]\,b &= \tau_{-z}\big((\omega \odot \iota)(\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})\,(1 \otimes \tau_z(\delta^{iz}\,b)))\big) = \tau_{-z}\big([(\omega \odot \iota)\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})]\,\tau_z(\delta^{iz}\,b)\big)\\ &= \tau_{-z}\big([(\omega \odot \iota)\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})]\,\delta^{iz}\,\tau_z(b)\big) = \tau_{-z}\big([(\omega \odot \iota)\Delta(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})]\,\delta^{iz}\big)\;b\end{aligned}$$

where we used result 4.36 in the second last equality.
Consequently, $(\omega_z \odot \iota)\Delta(a) = \tau_{-z}\big([(\omega \odot \iota)\Delta(\tau_z(a)\delta^{-iz})]\,\delta^{iz}\big)$. The other equality is proven in the same way. ■

Using theorem 2.12 of [6], it is now easy to verify the next lemma.

Lemma 5.2 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have the following properties.*

1. *We have for every $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$ that $\omega_z \in M(\hat{A})$.*
2. *Consider $\omega, \theta \in M(\hat{A})$. Then $(\omega\theta)_z = \omega_z\,\theta_z$.*

Lemma 5.3 *Consider $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then ω_z belongs to $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{\varphi}(\omega_z) = \hat{\varphi}(\omega)$*

Proof : There exist $a \in A$ such that $\omega = \psi a$.
Result 4.36 and corollary 4.28 imply that $\psi\,\tau_z = \nu^z\,\psi$. Results 4.39 and 4.36 imply that $\sigma'_i(\delta^{-iz}) = \nu^{-z}\,\delta^{-iz}$.
So we have for every $x \in A$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_z(x) &= (\psi a)(\tau_z(x)\,\delta^{-iz}) = \psi(a\,\tau_z(x)\,\delta^{-iz}) = \psi\big(\tau_z(\tau_{-z}(a)\,x\,\delta^{-iz})\big) \\ &= \nu^z\,\psi(\tau_{-z}(a)\,x\,\delta^{-iz}) = \psi(\delta^{-iz}\,\tau_{-z}(a)\,x) \end{aligned}$$

So we see $\omega_z = \psi\delta^{-iz}\tau_{-z}(a)$. This implies that $\omega_z \in \hat{A}$ and that

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega_z) = \varepsilon(\delta^{-iz}\tau_{-z}(a)) = \varepsilon(a) = \hat{\varphi}(\omega)$$

■

Lemma 5.4 *Consider $\omega \in \hat{A}$ and $a \in A$. Then the fuction $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \omega_z(a)$ is analytic.*

Proof : There exist $b, c, d \in A$ such that $\omega = bc\varphi d^*$.
We know that $\pi_r(a)$ is analytic with respect to τ_r and that $\pi_r(b)$ is analytic with respect to δ_r. This implies that the function $\mathbb{C} \to A_r : z \mapsto (\tau_r)_z(\pi_r(a))\,\delta_r^{-iz}\,\pi_r(b)$ is analytic. So the function $\mathbb{C} \to A_r : z \mapsto \varphi_r(\pi_r(d)^*\,(\tau_r)_z(\pi_r(a))\,\delta_r^{-iz}\,\pi_r(b)\,\pi_r(c))$ is analytic.
But we have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_r(\pi_r(d)^*\,(\tau_r)_z(\pi_r(a))\,\delta_r^{-iz}\,\pi_r(b)\,\pi_r(c)) = \varphi_r(\pi_r(d)^*\pi_r(\tau_z(a))\pi_r(\delta^{-iz}\,b)\pi_r(c)) \\ &\quad = \varphi_r(\pi_r(d^*\tau_z(a)\delta^{-iz}bc)) = \varphi(d^*\tau_z(a)\delta^{-iz}bc) = \omega(\alpha_z(a)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the function $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto \omega(\alpha_z(a))$ is analytic. ■

Proposition 5.5 *We have for every $\omega \in \hat{A}$, $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\hat{\sigma}_z(\omega)(a) = \omega(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})$.*

Proof : Define the function β from $\mathbb{C}$ into $L(\hat{A})$ such that $\beta_z(\omega) = \omega_z$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then we have the following properties.

1. It is clear that $\beta_0 = \iota$

2. Choose $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

   Take $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then we have for every $a \in A$ that

$$\begin{aligned}[\beta_s(\beta_t(\omega))](a) &= [\beta_t(\omega)](\tau_s(a)\,\delta^{-it}) = \omega(\tau_t(\tau_s(a)\,\delta^{-it})\,\delta^{-is}) \\ &= \omega(\tau_t(\tau_s(a))\ \delta^{-it}\,\delta^{-is}) = \omega(\tau_{s+t}(a)\,\delta^{-i(s+t)})) = [\beta_{s+t}(\omega)](a)\ ,\end{aligned}$$

   implying that $\beta_s(\beta_t(\omega)) = \beta_{s+t}(\omega)$.

   So we have proven that $\beta_s\,\beta_t = \beta_{s+t}$.

3. Lemma 5.2 implies that β_t is multiplicative for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

4. Choose $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

   Take $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then we have for every $a \in A$ that

$$\begin{aligned}[\beta_t(\omega)]^*(a) &= \overline{[\beta_t(\omega)](S(a)^*)} = \overline{\omega(\tau_t(S(a)^*)\,\delta^{-it})} = \overline{\omega((\delta^{it}\,\tau_t(S(a)))^*)} \\ &= \overline{\omega((\delta^{it}\,S(\tau_t(a)))^*)} = \overline{\omega(S(\tau_t(a)\,\delta^{-it})^*)} = \omega^*(\tau_t(a)\,\delta^{it}) = [\beta_t(\omega^*)](a)\ ,\end{aligned}$$

   implying that $[\beta_t(\omega)]^* = \beta_t(\omega^*)$.

   So we have proven that β_t preserves the *-operation.

5. Lemma 5.3 implies that $\hat{\varphi}$ is invariant under β_t for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

6. Choose $b \in \hat{\hat{A}}$ and $\omega \in \hat{A}$. Then there exist $a \in A$ such that $b(\theta) = \theta(a)$ for $\theta \in \hat{A}$. So we have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $b(\beta_z(\omega)) = \beta_z(\omega)(a) = \omega_z(a))$ which implies that the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto b(\beta_z(\omega))$ is analytic by lemma 5.4

So we can conclude from this al that β_z is an analytic one-parameter group on $\hat{A}$.

Choose $a \in A$. Lemma 2.8 of [6] implies that $\hat{\sigma}_i(\psi a) = \psi\delta S^2(a)$. We know by the proof of lemma 5.3 that $(\psi a)_i = \psi\delta S^2(a)$. So we see that $\hat{\sigma}_i(\psi a) = \beta_i(\psi a)$.
Hence $\hat{\sigma}_i = \beta_i$ which by proposition 2.11 implies that $\hat{\sigma} = \beta$. ■

The next result follows now immediately from lemma 5.2.

Corollary 5.6 *We have for every $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$, $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\hat{\sigma}_z(\omega)(a) = \omega(\tau_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz})$.*

The proof of the next result is completely similar (an easier) to the proof of the previous proposition. It is a consequence of the fact that $\hat{S}^2(\omega) = \omega S^2$ for $\omega \in \hat{A}$.

Proposition 5.7 *We have for every $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$, $a \in A$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\hat{\tau}_z(\omega) = \omega\tau_z$.*

Combining this with the fact that $\hat{R} = \hat{S}\,\hat{\tau}_{\frac{i}{2}}$, we get easily the following on.

Corollary 5.8 *We have for every $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$ that $\hat{R}(\omega) = \omega R$.*

Remembering that $\hat{\sigma}'_z = \hat{R}\,\hat{\sigma}_{-z}\,\hat{R}$, it is now easy to check the next equality.

Corollary 5.9 *We have for every $a \in A$, $\omega \in M(\hat{A})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $(\hat{\sigma}'_z)(\omega)(a) = \omega\big(\delta^{-iz}\,\tau_{-z}(a)\big)$*

In the last part of this section, we look a little bit further into the modular function $\hat{\delta}$ of the dual and connect it with the modular group σ and the antipode ε.

Lemma 5.10 *We have that* $\varepsilon\sigma_z = \varepsilon\sigma'_z$ *for* $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

This follows from the fact that $\sigma'_z(a) = \delta^{iz}\,\sigma_z(a)\,\delta^{-iz}$ for $a \in A$ and the fact that $\varepsilon(\delta^{iz}) = 1$.

Result 5.11 *Consider* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then* $\varepsilon\sigma_z$ *belongs to* $M(\hat{A})$.

Proof : Choose $a \in A$. By using proposition 4.30, we see that

$$(\iota \odot \varepsilon\sigma_z)\Delta(a) = (\tau_{-z} \odot \varepsilon)\Delta(\sigma_z(a)) = \tau_{-z}(\sigma_z(a))$$

So we get that $(\iota \odot \varepsilon\sigma_z)\Delta(a) \in A$.
Using the fact that $\varepsilon\sigma_z = \varepsilon\sigma'_z$ and proposition 4.30 once again, we get in a similar way that $(\varepsilon\sigma_z \odot \iota)\Delta(a)$ belongs to A. Hence theorem 2.12 of [6] implies that $\varepsilon\sigma_z \in M(\hat{A})$. ■

We introduce again a temporary notation. Define the mapping u from $\mathbb{C}$ into $M(\hat{A})$ such that $u_z = \varepsilon\sigma_{-z}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Recall from the proof of the previous lemma that $(\iota \odot u_z)\Delta(a) = \tau_z(\sigma_{-z}(a))$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in A$.

Lemma 5.12 *The mapping* u *is an analytic unitary representation on* $\hat{A}$.

Proof :

1. It is clear that $u_0 = 1$.
2. Choose $s, t \in A$. Take $a \in A$. By the proof of the previous result, we know that $(\iota \odot u_t)\Delta(a) = \tau_t(\sigma_{-t}(a))$. This implies by theorem 2.12 of [6] that

   $$\begin{aligned}(u_s\,u_t)(a) &= u_s((\iota \odot u_t)\Delta(a)) = \varepsilon\big(\sigma_{-s}(\tau_t(\sigma_{-t}(a)))\big) \\ &= \varepsilon\big(\tau_t(\sigma_{-s}(\sigma_{-t}(a)))\big) = \varepsilon(\sigma_{-(s+t)}(a)) = u_{s+t}(a)\end{aligned}$$

   So we see that $u_{s+t} = u_s\,u_t$.
3. Choose $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Take $a \in A$. Then

   $$u_t^*(a) = \overline{u_t(S(a)^*)} = \overline{\varepsilon(\sigma_{-t}(S(a)^*))} = \varepsilon(\sigma_{-t}(S(a))) = \varepsilon(S(\sigma'_t(a))) = \varepsilon(\sigma'_t(a)) = u_{-t}(a)$$

   So we get that $u_t^* = u_{-t}$.
4. Choose $a \in \hat{\hat{A}}$. Then there exists $\omega \in \hat{A}$ such that $a = \omega\,a$. We know that their exists $b \in A$ such that $a(\theta) = \theta(b)$ for every $\theta \in \hat{A}$. Define $c = (\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(b) \in A$. Recall that $\pi_u(c)$ is analytic with respect to σ_u.

   We have moreover for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that

   $$a(u_z) = a(u_z\omega) = (u_z\omega)(b) = u_z((\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(b)) = u_z(c) = \varepsilon_u\big((\sigma_u)_z(\pi_u(c))\big)$$

   which implies that the function $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto a(u_z)$ is analytic.

■

Lemma 5.13 *We have that* $u_{-i} = \hat{\delta}$.

Proof : Take $\theta \in \hat{A}$. Then there exist $a \in A$ such that $\theta = \psi a$.
So we have for every $x \in A$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta S \rho^{-1})(x) &= \psi\big(a\, S(\rho^{-1}(x))\big) = \psi\big(a\, \rho'(S(x))\big) = \psi(S(x)\, a) \\ &= \varphi(S(x)\, a\, \delta) = \varphi(S(\delta^{-1} S^{-1}(a)\, x)) = \psi(\delta^{-1} S^{-1}(a)\, x)\end{aligned}$$

So we see that $\theta S \rho^{-1} = \psi \delta^{-1} S^{-1}(a)$ which implies that

$$\hat{\varphi}(\theta S \rho^{-1}) = \varepsilon(\delta^{-1} S^{-1}(a)) = \varepsilon(a) = \hat{\varphi}(\theta) \qquad (*)$$

Choose $\omega \in A$. Then we have for every $x \in A$ that

$$(\omega u_{-i})(x) = \omega((\iota \odot u_{-i})\Delta(x)) = \omega\big(\tau_{-i}(\sigma_i(x))\big) = \omega\big(S^2(\rho^{-1}(x))\big)$$

so we get that $\omega u_{-i} = \omega S^2 \rho^{-1} = (\omega S) S \rho^{-1}$. Therefore equation (*) gives us that

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega u_{-i}) = \hat{\varphi}((\omega S) S \rho^{-1}) = \hat{\varphi}(\omega S) = \hat{\varphi}(\hat{S}(\omega))$$

This implies that $u_{-i} = \hat{\delta}$. ■

Proposition 4.1 implies now immediately the next proposition.

Proposition 5.14 *We have for every* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *that* $\hat{\delta}^{iz} = \varepsilon \sigma_{-z}$.

In the last part of this section, we will prove a connection between $\hat{\delta}$ and the modular functionals introduced by Woronowicz in [18].

Lemma 5.15 *Consider* $a \in A$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then*

1. $(\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \iota \odot \varepsilon \sigma_{-z})\Delta^{(2)}(a) = \delta^{iz}\, \tau_{2z}(a)\, \delta^{-iz}$
2. $(\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \iota \odot \varepsilon \sigma_z)\Delta^{(2)}(a) = \delta^{iz}\, \sigma_{2z}(a)\, \delta^{-iz}$

Proof : In this proof, we will make use of proposition 4.30 and result 4.39.

1. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \iota \odot \varepsilon \sigma_{-z})\Delta^{(2)}(a) = (\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \iota \odot \varepsilon \sigma_{-z})((\iota \odot \Delta)\Delta(a)) = (\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \tau_z \sigma_{-z})\Delta(a) \\ &\quad = \delta^{iz}\ (\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \tau_z \sigma'_{-z})\Delta(a)\ \delta^{-iz} = \delta^{iz}\, \tau_{2z}(a)\, \delta^{-iz}\end{aligned}$$

2. And similary,

$$\begin{aligned}&(\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \iota \odot \varepsilon \sigma_z)\Delta^{(2)}(a) = (\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \iota \odot \varepsilon \sigma_z)((\iota \odot \Delta)\Delta(a)) = (\varepsilon \sigma_z \odot \tau_{-z} \sigma_z)\Delta(a) \\ &\quad = (\varepsilon \sigma'_z \odot \sigma_z \tau_{-z})\Delta(a) = \sigma_z(\sigma'_z(a)) = \delta^{iz}\, \sigma_{2z}(a)\, \delta^{-iz}\end{aligned}$$

■

In theorem 5.6 of [18], Woronowicz introduced for a compact quantum group the linear functionals $f_z \in A'$ for every complex number z. The above lemma guarantees that they are connected with the modular function $\hat{\delta}$.

Result 5.16 *Suppose that (A,Δ) is a compact algebraic quantum group. Then we have for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\hat{\delta}^z = f_{-2z}$.*

Proof : Recall that $\delta = 1$ in this case.
Because A has a unit, theorem 2.12 of [6] implies immediately that $M(\hat{A}) = A'$. So we get for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that f_z belongs to $M(\hat{A})$. Define the function v from $\mathbb{C}$ into $M(\hat{A})$ such that $v_z = f_{-2iz}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then theorem 5.6 of [18] implies immediately that v satisfies the following properties :

1. $v_0 = 1$
2. We have for every $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ that $v_{s+t} = v_s\, v_t$.
3. We have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $v_t^* = v_{-t}$.
4. Consider $\omega \in \hat{\hat{A}}$. Then the function $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : z \to \omega(v_z)$ is analytic (remember that $\hat{\hat{A}} = A$).

So v is an analytic unitary representation on $\hat{A}$.
Theorem 5.6 of [18] implies also that f_1 is invertible in $M(\hat{A})$ and that $(f_1)^{-1} = f_{-1}$.
Choose $\omega \in \hat{A}$.
Take $a \in A$. Then item 5 of theorem 5.6 of [18] and the previous lemma imply that

$$(f_1 \odot \iota \odot f_{-1})\Delta^{(2)}(a) = S^2(a) = \tau_{-i}(a) = (\hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \odot \iota \odot \hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}})\Delta^{(2)}(a)$$

So by applying ω to this equality, we get that $(f_1\, \omega\, f_{-1})(a) = (\hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \omega\, \hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}})(a)$.
Hence $f_1\, \omega\, f_{-1} = \hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \omega\, \hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which implies that $\hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}\, f_1\, \omega = \omega\, \hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}\, f_1$. (a)
Item 6 of theorem 5.6 of [18] implies that $f_1 * a * f_1 = \rho(a)$ for $a \in A$. Combining this with the previous lemma, we get in a similar way that $f_1\, \omega\, f_1 = \hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \omega\, \hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ which implies that $\hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}\, f_1\, \omega = \omega\, \hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, f_{-1}$. (b)
Combining (a) and (b), we get that $\omega\, \hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}\, f_1 = \omega\, \hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, f_{-1}$.

So we see that $\hat{\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}\, f_1 = \hat{\delta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, f_{-1}$ which implies that $\hat{\delta} = f_{-2}$. Consequently, $v_{-i} = \hat{\delta}$.
Therefore proposition 4.1 implies for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\hat{\delta}^z = v_{-iz} = f_{-2z}$. ■

References

[1] E. Abe, Hopf Algebras. *Cambridge University Press* (1977).

[2] E.G. Effros & Z.-J. Ruan, Discrete Quantum Groups I. The Haar Measure. *Int. J. of Math.* (1994).

[3] M. Enock & J.-M. Schwartz, Kac Algebras and Duality of Locally Compact Groups. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin* (1992).

[4] B. Drabant & A. Van Daele, Pairing and Quantum Double of Multiplier Hopf Algebras. *Preprint K.U.Leuven* (1996)

[5] J. Kustermans & A. Van Daele, C*-algebraic quantum groups arising from algebraic quantum groups. (1996) To appear in *International Journal of Mathematics.* #q-alg/9611023

[6] J. Kustermans, Examining the dual of an algebraic quantum group. *Preprint Odense Universitet* (1997). #funct-an/9704004

[7] J.Kustermans, KMS-weights on C*-algebras. *Preprint Odense Universitet* (1997). #funct-an/9704008

[8] J. Kustermans, One-parameter repesentations on C*-algebras. In preparation.

[9] J. Kustermans, Universal C*-algebraic quantum groups arising from algebraic quantum groups. (1997) *Preprint Odense Universitet.* #funct-an/9704006

[10] T. Masuda & Y. Nakagami A von Neumann Algebra Framework for the Duality of Quantum Groups. *Publications of the RIMS Kyoto University* **30** (1994) , 799–850

[11] G.K. Pedersen & M. Takesaki, The Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.* **130** (1973), 53–87.

[12] S. Stratila & L. Zsido, Lectures on von Neumann algebras. *Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, England* (1979).

[13] M. Takesaki, Theory of Operator Algebras I. *Springer-Verlag, New York* (1979).

[14] A. Van Daele, An Algebraic Framework for Group Duality. (1996) To appear in *Advances of Mathematics.*

[15] A. Van Daele, Dual Pairs of Hopf *-algebras. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1993), 209–230.

[16] A. Van Daele, Discrete Quantum Groups. *Journal of Algebra* **180** (1996), 431–444.

[17] A. Van Daele, Multiplier Hopf Algebras. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **342** (1994), 917–932.

[18] S.L. Woronowicz, Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665.

[19] S.L. Woronowicz, Compact quantum groups. *Preprint Warszawa* (1993).

[20] S.L. Woronowicz, Pseudospaces, pseudogroups and Pontriagin duality. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Physics, Lausanne* (1979), 407–412.

[21] S.L. Woronowicz, Unbounded elements affiliated with C^*-algebras and non-compact quantum groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **136** (1991), 399–432.